| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|
| | 09/786,253 | ROWE ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Ginny Portner | 1645 | |
| All Participants: | Status of Application: after final | | |
| (1) Ginny Portner. PTO | (3) | | |
| (2) Mr. Michael S. Greenfield (Reg. No. 37,142). | Reg. No. 37,142). (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: | Time: | | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | | | |
| Part I. | | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | | |
| Claims discussed: claim 3 | | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: na | | | |
| Part II. | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: authorization of an Examiner's Amendment for claim 3 to sequentially list all species of the Markush Group was given. | | | |
| Part III. | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| 90 Port 7/19/65 | | | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/A | Applicant's Representative Signature | anature – if appropriate) | |